MEG Review Criteria

Each MEG proposal is reviewed by three faculty members in our college. In most cases, one of the reviewers is from the PI’s department. Each MEG is scored using the following guideline:

___/20  Clarity, Persuasiveness, Adequate Detail (20 Possible Points)
___/5   Reasonable Budget (5 Possible Points)
___/25  Scientific Merit (25 possible points)
___/25  Quality of Mentoring Environment (25 Possible Points)
___/20  CHAIR’S RANKING (20 Possible Points)
___/95  TOTAL REVIEWER SCORE (95 Possible Reviewer Points)
___/5   Prior MEG Activity for PI (To be filled in by college)
___/100 TOTAL SCORE

REVIEWER COMMENTS: (These comments will be forwarded to the PI, and will be used to break ties in the numerical rankings.)

The score for Prior MEG Activity will be assigned as follows:
0: PI submitted a successful MEG in the past two years
2: PI submitted no MEG proposals in the past two years
4: PI submitted a MEG proposal in one of the past two years, without success
5: PI submitted MEG proposals in each of the past two years, without success